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Abstract

Rationale & Objective: Extreme heat exposure (EHE) is associated with multiple diseases. However, our current understanding of the specific impact of extreme heat exposure on kidney disease is limited.

Study Design: Case-crossover study.

Setting & Participants: 1,114,322 emergency department (ED) visits with a principal diagnosis of kidney disease were identified in New York state, 2005-2013.

Exposure: EHE was defined as when the daily temperature exceeded the 90th percentile temperature of that month during the study period in the county.


Analytical Approach: EHE on the ED visit days was compared to EHE on control days using a conditional logistic regression model, controlling for humidity, air pollutants, and holidays. The excess risk of kidney disease was calculated for a week (0-6 lag days) after EHE during the warm season (May-September). We also stratified our estimates by socio-demographic characteristics.

Results: EHE was associated with a 1.7% (lag day 0) to 3.1% (lag day 2) higher risk of ED visits related to kidney disease, with a stronger association with a greater number of EHE days in the
previous week. The association with EHE lasted for an entire week and was stronger in the transitional months (i.e., May and September; excess rates ranged from 1.8% to 5.1%) rather than the summer months (June through August; excess rates ranged from 1.5% to 2.7%). The strength of association was greater among those with ED visits related to acute kidney injury, kidney stones, and urinary tract infections. Age and gender may modify the association between EHE and ED visits.

**Limitations:** Individual exposure to heat, i.e., how long people were outside or if they had access to air conditioning, was unknown.

**Conclusions:** Extreme heat exposure was significantly associated with a dose-dependent increased risk of ED visits for kidney disease.

**Keywords:** heatwave, renal disease, ambient temperature, climate change, warm season, heat stress, acute kidney injury (AKI), global warming, environmental health,
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Given the increasing frequency and intensity of extreme heat exposure due to climate change and the growing prevalence of kidney disease worldwide, we examined their association with one another. We examined the association between extreme heat exposure and kidney disease–related emergency department (ED) visits based on seasons and disease subtypes in New York state. Extreme heat exposure was significantly associated with an increased risk of ED visits for multiple types of kidney disease (mainly acute kidney injury, kidney stones, and urinary tract infections). Furthermore, the impact of extreme heat exposure lasted a week and was stronger in the transitional months (May and September) than the summer months.
Introduction

Excess death and morbidity due to kidney disease pose substantial public health and economic burdens around the globe.\textsuperscript{1,2,3} Additionally, kidney disease is a key determinant of poor outcomes for other important non-communicable diseases (NCDs).\textsuperscript{4} In fact, 7.6% of the 1.4 million annual cardiovascular disease (CVD) deaths worldwide can be attributed to impaired kidney function.\textsuperscript{1} Together, kidney disease, diabetes, hypertension, and CVD profoundly impact global morbidity and mortality trends,\textsuperscript{4} but kidney disease receives far less attention in research on the treatment and etiology of NCDs. While many important NCDs have declined in recent years, kidney disease has experienced a slower rate of decline and even increased in some regions.\textsuperscript{4} Thus, the prevention of kidney disease in high-risk groups warrants greater prominence on the global public health agenda.\textsuperscript{4}

In recent decades, climate change has played an increasing role in the world's growing burden of kidney disease.\textsuperscript{2} The unprecedented elevation of ambient temperatures worldwide substantially impacts human health.\textsuperscript{5} Previous studies have demonstrated associations between extreme heat exposure and increased incidence,\textsuperscript{6} morbidity,\textsuperscript{7} and hospital admission rates for kidney disease.\textsuperscript{8–12} Various studies have assessed the associations between extreme heat exposure and emergency department (ED) visits for kidney disease overall\textsuperscript{13–18} and various subtypes, including acute kidney injury (AKI),\textsuperscript{13,14,17,19–21} chronic kidney disease (CKD),\textsuperscript{13,20}
kidney stones, urinary tract infections (UTIs), and renal colic. However, the collective results are inconclusive. There are still multiple knowledge gaps in substantiating the associations between extreme heat exposure and renal ED visits, including a lack of studies assessing 1) lag and dose-dependent effects; 2) impacts of extreme heat exposure in transitional months before and after summer (May and September); 3) associations between extreme heat exposure and kidney disease subtypes; and 4) risks among populations with existing kidney disease who are highly vulnerable to extreme heat exposure.

Our study fills existing knowledge gaps by assessing the associations between extreme heat exposure and ED visits related to kidney disease overall and by subtype in summer and transitional months in New York state. In addition, we stratified our data by socio-demographic characteristics to further uncover associations between extreme heat exposure and renal ED visits. Given the increasing frequency and intensity of extreme heat in weather patterns due to climate change and the growing prevalence of kidney disease worldwide, it is imperative to elucidate the association between extreme heat exposure and the various subtypes of kidney disease.

**Methods**

**Study design and health outcome**
We used a time-stratified case-crossover design to study the association of extreme heat exposure with the transient acute and severe exacerbation of kidney diseases by using ED visit data.\textsuperscript{24–26} The case-crossover design contrasts extreme heat exposure immediately before the ED visits due to kidney disease (case days) to other times when the individual did not go to the ED (control days). We defined control days as the same days of the week in the same calendar month as the corresponding case day, resulting in 3 or 4 control days for each case day. We also examined the associations on lag days 0–6 (the 7 days following the extreme heat exposure). Compared to more conventional study designs, cases serve as their own controls in a case-crossover analysis. Therefore, inherited confounders, such as age, gender, unchanged lifestyle, and some time-varying factors, were automatically controlled.\textsuperscript{27} We used health data from the New York Statewide Planning and Research Cooperative System (SPARCS), a legislatively mandated database covering over 95\% of hospital records in New York state.\textsuperscript{25} We obtained ED visits reported with a principal diagnosis of acute and chronic kidney diseases (International Classification of Diseases 9 (ICD-9): 580-599, 788) from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2013. As in our previous research\textsuperscript{28}, we included the following eight major kidney disease subtypes: AKI (code 584), CKD (code 585), UTI (code 599), kidney stone (code 592), lower urinary tract calculi (code 594), nephritis and nephrosis (codes 580–583, 590, 591), other kidney and ureter disorders (codes 586–589, 593), and other lower urinary tract disorders (codes 595–
We included acute exacerbations of all kidney diseases to properly assess the acute and transient effects according to the assumption of a case-crossover design. In addition, previous literature found that acute onset or exacerbation of many chronic conditions, such as CKD$^{13,20}$ and kidney stones$^{22,23}$, are significantly related to extreme heat exposure. To ensure a valid outcome definition, we used the principal diagnosis (or discharge diagnosis) confirmed by laboratory testing and clinical examination. Using the principal diagnosis allowed us to identify situations where kidney disease was the leading cause of the ED visit rather than being a morbidity noted in the medical record of uncertain origin and relevance. Furthermore, using ED data for acute kidney disease outcomes was appropriate as these conditions require immediate medical attention. Patients with multiple ED visits were included and treated as independent individual cases. However, we assumed that readmission did not affect the results because the percentage of patients with multiple renal ED visits occurring within 30 days was low ($^\sim$5%), and we focused on acute exacerbation events rather than incident cases.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University at Albany, State University of New York (approval number 17X189). Individual-level informed consent was waived by the IRB as the data was statistically de-identified except for residential address, which was deleted after being geocoded to the longitude and latitude coordinates and linked with the meteorological data.
Exposure data and definition

Daily weather data were obtained from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)\textsuperscript{29} and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).\textsuperscript{30} We assigned the county-level (62 counties in New York state) temperature and humidity exposure to the individual level since ambient temperature and humidity were not likely to change significantly within a county. We used the 90\textsuperscript{th} percentile of daily temperature in each month over the entire study period in each county as the threshold to define extreme heat exposure (a binary variable) based on previous extreme heat exposure health research.\textsuperscript{11,31–35}

As air pollution is related to both EHE and kidney disease,\textsuperscript{36} and previous studies have identified specific pollutants as confounders,\textsuperscript{37–39} we added PM\textsubscript{2.5} and ozone, the two pollutants commonly occurring during the warm season, to our analysis as confounders. In addition, our data showed a 6-35\% difference in the excess rate when running the regression models with and without adjustments for these two pollutants. We found more than a 15\% difference in the risk estimation for most lag days (Table S1). We used daily PM\textsubscript{2.5} and ozone concentrations simulations from the EPA's Community Multiscale Air Quality Modeling System (CMAQ).\textsuperscript{40} CMAQ output represents volume-average pollutant concentrations for every 1-mile x 1-mile grid cell and vertical layer in the model domain. For each census tract, we determined the simulation grids of CMAQ data located in the area using the FIPS.names function in the rSPARCS package.
We then computed the average air pollution concentration across the simulation grids within the census tract. By geocoding the individual residential address of each case to the street level and assigning the exposures to each case, we obtained individual daily PM$_{2.5}$ and ozone exposure estimates.

**Statistical analysis**

Our analysis focused on ED visits due to kidney disease in summer (June through August) and in the transitional months (May and September), as prior studies found stronger effects of extreme heat exposure on many health outcomes during these transitional months.$^{41,42}$ Other studies on associations between extreme heat exposure and kidney disease included May and September as part of the warm season.$^{15,43}$ We first drew a plot to present the overall relationship between continuous temperature, extreme heat exposure, and the number of renal ED visits over time. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis to fit the relationship between continuous temperature levels and risks of kidney disease (ORs) using the distributed lag non-linear model (DLNM). We then used a conditional logistic model to regress the outcome (i.e., the case/control indicator) against the extreme heat exposure while controlling for multiple confounders on 0-6 lag days. Specifically, we controlled for humidity, holiday, and the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ and ozone on the same lag day as the extreme heat exposure. Odds ratios (ORs) were first estimated, then excess rate was calculated as (OR - 1) $\times$ 100%. We used excess rate because it removes the
baseline change and was commonly used in previous studies.43 We first ran an overall model including all cases in different seasons (i.e., May vs. June-August vs. September) and then a separate model for each kidney disease subtype in the warm season. Afterward, we examined the modifying effects of socio-demographic factors such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, and insurance status on the associations by calculating the $P$-value for the factor *exposure interaction term.25 Finally, stratified analyses were conducted among those factors with significant modification effects ($P$ for interaction $<$0.05). In addition, we calculated the number of extreme heat exposure days within the week before the admission day for each participant and evaluated the potential dose-dependent effect on ED visits.

**Results**

We identified 1,114,322 ED visits due to kidney disease in New York state during the study period. The basic characteristics of our study population are described in Table 1. Figure S1 shows a plot over time of continuous temperature and the number of renal ED visits; overall, temperature and ED visit volume were highly correlated. As shown in Figure S2, we observed a monotonically increasing risk of renal ED visits as temperatures increase during the warm season (May to September). Figure 1 displays the excess rate of renal ED visits attributable to extreme heat exposure among New York residents. Depending on the day, we found that extreme heat exposure was associated with a 1.7% (95% CI: 0.9-2.5%) to 3.1% (95% CI: 2.3-4.0%) higher
risk of a renal ED visit during the week following the exposure (i.e., lag days 0-6). The impact of extreme heat exposure on renal ED visits increased from lag day 0 to lag day 2, weakening after the strongest effect on lag day 2.

**Figure 2** represents the excess rate of renal ED visits associated with extreme heat exposure in the transitional months and summer. Generally, the association between extreme heat exposure and ED was stronger in the transitional months (ERs ranged from 1.8% to 5.1%) than in summer (ERs ranged from 1.5% to 2.7%). In May, the association of extreme heat exposure with renal ED visits lasted for a whole week following the exposure, with the strongest association occurring on lag day 2 [ER = 5.1% (3.4-6.8%)]. In September, the association was strongest on lag day 0 [ER = 4.2% (2.6-5.8%)], but a statistically significant association was lost by lag day 4. During the summer months, the association of extreme heat exposure with renal ED admissions lasted for the whole week of observation, but the magnitude never surpassed the strength of association observed in May.

The associations between extreme heat exposure and ED visits by kidney disease subtypes are presented in Table 2. We found that extreme heat exposure was significantly associated with increased ED visits due to AKI, kidney stones, UTIs, other kidney and ureter disorders, and other lower urinary tract disorders. The association was strongest for AKI and lasted for 4 days after the extreme heat exposure (ERs monotonically decreased from 16.5% on
The association between extreme heat exposure and kidney stones persisted for the whole week (ERs ranged from 3.8% on lag day 6 to 8.2% on lag day 2). The association of extreme heat exposure with UTI was transient and lasted from lag day 0 to lag day 2. The association with other kidney and ureter disorders was observed 1 day later (lag day 1) and lasted to lag day 3 (ERs ranged from 7.0% to 9.5%), while the association with other lower urinary tract disorders began on lag day 4 and ended on lag day 6 (ERs ranged from 1.8% to 2.9%).

In Table 3, we present the modification effect of socio-demographic characteristics on the associations between extreme heat exposure and renal ED visits. Age and gender modified the association significantly. Results of stratification analyses showed that older individuals (aged >65 years) experienced more renal ED visits during the short-term period after extreme heat exposure (from lag days 0 to 3). However, for participants aged 18-65 and 5-18 years, extreme heat exposure was associated with excess risk of renal ED visits during the whole week from lag day 0 to lag day 6. Notably, we found that the association was stronger for male than female participants. Race, ethnicity, and insurance did not significantly modify the associations.

To detect a potential dose dependence in the association between extreme heat exposure and renal ED visits, we assessed excess rates according to the number of days of extreme heat exposure during the previous week (Figure 3). The association between extreme heat exposure
and renal ED visits showed a dose-dependent trend from 1 to 5 days of exposure in the previous week. However, the trend did not persist beyond 5 days of exposure.

**Discussion**

Our analyses found that extreme heat exposure was significantly associated with excess ED visits related to kidney disease (1.7% to 3.1%) in the warm season (May through September), with a significant dose-dependent relationship. A prior systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that high temperature was associated with a 30% increase in kidney disease morbidity; specifically, heat stress/strain increased the risk of kidney disease by 16%. Similarly, Ogbomo et al. (2017) found that hospitalization rates for kidney disease in Michigan increased significantly (OR=1.14) during extreme-heat periods (daily mean temperature ≥95th percentile). A retrospective cohort study in South Korea found that temperatures above the 90th and 95th percentiles were associated with an overall cumulative relative risk of 1.18-1.23 and 1.23-1.29, respectively, for ED admissions related to kidney disease compared to minimum morbidity percentiles. A time series analysis in Washington state found a 1.57-fold greater risk of hospital admissions related to kidney disease on a 99th percentile (36.2 °C) heat day compared to days without extreme heat. In addition, Borg et al. (2017) in Australia and Chen et al. (2017) in Atlanta found that a 1°C increase in daily minimum temperature or heatwave average temperature was associated with a 1.003 to 1.009 increase in ED admissions for kidney disease.
overall and selected subtypes. In general, our study found a weaker association between extreme heat exposure and renal ED visits than in previous studies, which may be due to differences in study design, exposure definitions, and health outcome categorization. For example, we defined extreme heat exposure as when the daily temperature exceeded the 90th percentile temperature of that month over the entire study period in the county. Since there is no standard definition, researchers have previously elected to use the 90th, 95th, 97th, and 99th percentiles to define extreme heat exposure. Unsurprisingly, using a higher percentile to define extreme heat exposure tends to yield stronger associations. Furthermore, we exclusively focused on ED visits, but most prior studies used hospitalizations, which included more severe kidney disease cases, and thus the risks were higher. To our knowledge, we are the first to examine dose dependence in the association of extreme heat exposure with renal ED visits. The limited number of instances in which extreme heat persisted for 6-7 days may explain why excess rates of renal ED visits were not significantly associated with having 6 or 7 extreme heat days in the week preceding a visit.

We also found that the association of extreme heat exposure with renal ED visits was detectable by lag day 0, was greatest on lag day 2, and remained statistically significantly for the whole week. These associations were also significantly stronger in transitional months, especially in May, than in summer. Similar to our results, Ogbomo et al. (2017) reported that
Extreme heat exposure had the strongest association with kidney disease on the day of exposure and remained statistically significant for 1 to 5 subsequent days. Although the association of extreme heat exposure and kidney disease during transitional months and in summer has seldom been studied separately, our recent research found stronger associations between extreme heat exposure and pregnancy complications during transitional months than in summer. Kidney disease patients may be more prone to the effect of extreme heat exposure in transitional months due to their potential lack of physical and behavioral preparation or adaptations to sudden temperature changes (not using fans or air conditioners, more outdoor activities, and no early heat warning systems).

The specific kidney disease subtypes significantly associated with extreme heat exposure in this study included AKI, kidney stones, UTIs, and other kidney and lower urinary tract disorders. AKI had the highest risks (ER ranged from 4.3% to 16.5%), similar to previous studies. Our results were consistent with previous studies indicating that elevated temperature was mainly associated with AKI, kidney stones, and UTIs.

The exact biological mechanisms by which extreme heat exposure contributes to kidney disease are unclear. However, it is increasingly evident that, while the kidney has a major role in protecting the body from heat stress, it is also a target for heat stress-related injury. Heat stress can lead to increased core temperatures, dehydration, hypovolemia, blood hyperosmolality, and
electrolyte imbalance, which can lead to AKI\textsuperscript{13,46} and the formation of kidney stones and renal colic,\textsuperscript{47} and can facilitate the progression of localized infections to sepsis and shock.\textsuperscript{12} The adverse effects of vasopressin\textsuperscript{48} and the fructokinase system\textsuperscript{49} on renal tubules from extreme heat exposure can also lead to AKI.\textsuperscript{13} Meanwhile, increased circulating markers of inflammation and oxidative stress associated with elevated ambient temperatures may contribute to kidney disease exacerbation.\textsuperscript{50-52} Additionally, the medications commonly used to treat kidney disease, such as diuretics, may impair thermoregulatory responses and aggravate syndromes related to extreme heat exposure.\textsuperscript{9}

Our study found varied associations among populations of different ages and genders, i.e., older adults (aged >65 years) and males had slightly higher extreme heat exposure–associated renal ED risks than other groups. Previous studies found that the groups vulnerable to extreme heat exposure varied depending on health outcomes, geographic regions, and type of diseases. However, most studies agree that older adults are most vulnerable to the renal effects of extreme heat exposure.\textsuperscript{9,53,54} Similar to our results, previous studies also found a higher risk of hospitalization and ED visits due to kidney disease in males than in females.\textsuperscript{16,43}

Our results indicate several implications: 1) everyone, especially those with pre-existing kidney disease, are recommended to avoid extreme heat exposure in summer and especially transitional months to prevent AKI and disease exacerbation; 2) the prevention and treatment of
heat-related kidney disease may be prepared during heatwaves and may last week-long; 3) the public health benefit of avoiding extreme heat exposure is potentially significant due to the high prevalence of kidney disease, the growing frequency of extreme heat exposure due to climate change, and the significant excess risk of extreme heat exposure related kidney disease; and 4) the real impacts of EHE on RD could be larger than what we found in the current study because we only included some severe cases (ED visits) and omitted more moderate and hospitalization cases.

Our study has several strengths. First, we included a large sample size of over one million ED visits related to kidney disease. Furthermore, we compared the risks for renal ED visits by different lag days, and between summer and transitional months. Additionally, our detailed classification of kidney disease enabled us to assess the association of extreme heat exposure with specific subtypes as well as by socio-demographic characteristics. Finally, we used a case-crossover design, which controls most interindividual confounders.

However, several limitations should be considered when interpreting our results. First, the sample size may be a concern when evaluating the associations of extreme heat exposure with kidney disease subtypes. However, we collected multiple years of data from one of the largest states in the United States. Second, confounders may be a concern. However, we used the case-crossover design. Each case was self-compared regarding the exposure to control all
individually inherited confounders. We further controlled for air pollutants, humidity, and various time-related variables (holidays). Third, we exclusively considered ED visits in our case selection, limiting our analysis to the severe cases of kidney disease. However, this may be less of a problem for the kidney diseases studied as patients with AKI, kidney stones, and UTI may be more likely to present to an ED to get urgent medical care than other patients. Fourth, we did not evaluate individual exposure to heat or access to air conditioning. Future studies with more accurate measurements of individual exposures are needed to verify our findings. Finally, cases within a county were assigned the same temperature values for a specific day due to data limits, which likely resulted in exposure misclassification. However, we assigned weather data at the level of county (n = 62 in New York), which is more granular than the data from limited monitoring sites (n = 14 in New York). The temperature variance across New York state was limited in our study (SD: 4.17-9.74 °F from month to month; <10% change). Thus, we assumed that the ambient temperature was not likely to change each day significantly within a much smaller area, i.e., within a county. In addition, using a case-crossover design, we compared extreme heat exposure on the case and control days, i.e., exposure was compared at different times but in the same residence. Therefore, if exposure misclassification occurs, the bias would be non-differential between the case and control days.25,55
In conclusion, extreme heat exposure was significantly associated with increased risk of ED visits related to multiple kidney disease types and displayed a dose-dependent relationship. This association lasted a week after exposure and was stronger during transitional months (especially May) than in summer. The kidney disease subtype showing the strongest association was AKI, although kidney stones and UTIs also showed strong associations. Age and gender may modify observed associations. The association of extreme heat exposure and kidney disease was observed not only in summer but also in transitional months.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1.** Excess rate (%) of emergency department visits due to renal diseases associated with extreme heat exposure

**Figure 2.** Excess rate (%) of emergency department visit due to renal diseases associated with extreme heat exposure by month

**Figure 3.** Excess rate (%) of emergency department visit due to renal diseases associated with the number of days during the past week exposed to extreme heat
#### Table 1. Basic characteristics of the study population in New York State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic characteristics</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Proportion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>91,581</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-18</td>
<td>444,034</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-65</td>
<td>267,641</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>311,066</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>679,307</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>435,003</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>216,301</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non- African American</td>
<td>898,021</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>185,815</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>843,920</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>109,845</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-pay</td>
<td>120,696</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other insurance</td>
<td>883,781</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renal diseases subtypes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute kidney disease</td>
<td>86,194</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>9,053</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower urinary tract calculi</td>
<td>3,189</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephritis and nephrosis</td>
<td>79,754</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney stone</td>
<td>182,779</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infections</td>
<td>497,055</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other kidney and ureter disorders</td>
<td>12,040</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other lower urinary tract disorders</td>
<td>244,258</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Excess rate (%) of emergency department visit due to renal diseases associated with extreme heat exposure, by subtypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lag days</th>
<th>AKI</th>
<th>CKD</th>
<th>Lower urinary tract calculi</th>
<th>Nephritis and nephrosis</th>
<th>Kidney stone</th>
<th>UTI</th>
<th>Other kidney and ureter disorders</th>
<th>Other lower urinary tract disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lag 0</td>
<td>16.5 (13.9, 19.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (-5.8, 8.7)</td>
<td>0.0 (-11.4, 12.9)</td>
<td>-0.2 (-2.7, 2.3)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.5, 5.9)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.3, 3.5)</td>
<td>5.3 (-1.0, 12.0)</td>
<td>-3.4 (-4.9, -2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag 1</td>
<td>17.8 (15.1, 20.5)</td>
<td>-1.1 (-8.1, 6.3)</td>
<td>0.2 (-11.1, 12.9)</td>
<td>-2.1 (-4.5, 0.4)</td>
<td>7.3 (5.6, 9.1)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.3, 3.5)</td>
<td>7.0 (0.6, 13.9)</td>
<td>-3.3 (-4.8, -1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag 2</td>
<td>12.6 (10.0, 15.2)</td>
<td>-6.4 (-13.2, 0.9)</td>
<td>-4.4 (-15.5, 8.2)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-2.8, 2.2)</td>
<td>8.2 (6.5, 10.0)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.3, 2.5)</td>
<td>9.5 (2.8, 16.5)</td>
<td>0.9 (-0.6, 2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag 3</td>
<td>8.6 (6.1, 11.2)</td>
<td>-0.6 (-7.7, 7.1)</td>
<td>-3.0 (-14.4, 9.8)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-2.6, 2.4)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.8, 7.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (-0.2, 2.0)</td>
<td>8.6 (2.0, 15.6)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.0, 3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag 4</td>
<td>4.3 (1.9, 6.9)</td>
<td>0.6 (-6.7, 8.4)</td>
<td>-3.6 (-15.1, 9.4)</td>
<td>0.4 (-2.1, 2.9)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.7, 7.2)</td>
<td>0.8 (-0.3, 1.9)</td>
<td>4.8 (-1.7, 11.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.3, 3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag 5</td>
<td>1.1 (-1.4, 3.5)</td>
<td>-1.9 (-9.0, 5.8)</td>
<td>-1.1 (-12.8, 12.2)</td>
<td>0.5 (-2.0, 3.0)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.2, 5.7)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.5, 2.7)</td>
<td>1.2 (-5.1, 8.0)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.4, 3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag 6</td>
<td>-0.9 (-3.2, 1.6)</td>
<td>-3.9 (-10.9, 3.5)</td>
<td>-3.7 (-15.2, 9.4)</td>
<td>1.5 (-1.0, 4.1)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.1, 5.5)</td>
<td>0.9 (-0.2, 2.0)</td>
<td>3.5 (-2.9, 10.3)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3, 4.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; UTI, urinary tract infections.
Table 3. Excess rate (%) of emergency department visit due to renal diseases associated with extreme heat exposure, effect modification, and stratification by socio-demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Lag 0</th>
<th>Lag 1</th>
<th>Lag 2</th>
<th>Lag 3</th>
<th>Lag 4</th>
<th>Lag 5</th>
<th>Lag 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>1.1 (-1.2, 3.4)</td>
<td>1.7 (-0.7, 4.1)</td>
<td>-0.7 (-3.0, 1.7)</td>
<td>-0.6 (-2.9, 1.8)</td>
<td>0.8 (-1.5, 3.2)</td>
<td>1.0 (-1.3, 3.4)</td>
<td>-1.5 (-3.8, 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-18</td>
<td>1.1 (-0.0, 2.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3, 3.7)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.4, 4.8)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3, 3.6)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.0, 3.4)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.9, 3.2)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.8, 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-65</td>
<td>2.8 (1.3, 4.2)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.5, 4.4)</td>
<td>4.7 (3.3, 6.2)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.4, 5.3)</td>
<td>4.1 (2.7, 5.6)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.5, 4.4)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.6, 4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>3.9 (2.6, 5.3)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.0, 4.8)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.9, 3.6)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.4, 3.1)</td>
<td>0.4 (-0.9, 1.8)</td>
<td>1.0 (-0.3, 2.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (-0.3, 2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P for interaction</strong></td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5, 2.4)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8, 2.7)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.4, 3.3)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.7, 2.6)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5, 2.4)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.6, 2.5)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.6, 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4, 4.7)</td>
<td>4.4 (3.3, 5.6)</td>
<td>4.4 (3.2, 5.6)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4, 4.7)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.9, 4.2)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.2, 3.6)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7, 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P for interaction</strong></td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>1.6 (0.1, 3.2)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.2, 3.4)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3, 4.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.1, 3.3)</td>
<td>1.2 (-0.4, 2.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.2, 3.4)</td>
<td>0.9 (-0.6, 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non- African American</td>
<td>2.4 (1.6, 3.3)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.2, 3.9)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.3, 4.1)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.7, 3.4)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.4, 3.1)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.0, 2.8)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.0, 2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P for interaction</strong></td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1.1 (-0.5, 2.8)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.5, 4.9)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.6, 5.0)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.4, 4.8)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.6, 4.0)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.7, 4.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.3, 4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>2.4 (1.5, 3.3)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.7, 3.5)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.2, 4.0)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.2, 3.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.1, 2.9)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.9, 2.7)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6, 2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P for interaction</strong></td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>3.7 (1.6, 5.9)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.0, 6.4)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.2, 5.6)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.1, 5.5)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.2, 5.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.2, 4.5)</td>
<td>1.0 (-1.2, 3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-pay</td>
<td>1.6 (-0.5, 3.6)</td>
<td>0.8 (-1.3, 2.9)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.1, 4.3)</td>
<td>1.9 (-0.2, 4.1)</td>
<td>1.1 (-0.9, 3.3)</td>
<td>0.7 (-1.4, 2.8)</td>
<td>1.1 (-1.0, 3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other insurance</td>
<td>2.2 (1.3, 3.1)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.0, 3.8)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.4, 4.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.4, 3.2)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.1, 2.9)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.1, 2.9)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.0, 2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P for interaction</strong></td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.623</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The diagram shows excess rates (%) for different lags (Lag0 to Lag6). The excess rates are as follows:

- Lag0: 2.3%
- Lag1: 2.8%
- Lag2: 3.1%
- Lag3: 2.4%
- Lag4: 2.1%
- Lag5: 1.9%
- Lag6: 1.7%